

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,992	12/06/2001		Lawrence W. Stark	018158-018610US	1090
20350	7590	10/27/2003		EXAM	IINER
		TOWNSEND AN	SHAY, DAVID M		
TWO EMBA EIGHTH FL		O CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRAN	CISCO, C.	A 94111-3834		3739	$\nabla$

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sam

	<u> </u>	
1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
. Office Action Summary	IS/UCE, 997 Examiner	Stark
	Examiner	Group Art Unit 373 §
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover shee	t beneath the correspondence address
Period for Response		1
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	IS SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30)</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, the Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response.</li> </ul>	days, a response within the sta by default, expire SIX (6) MONT	tutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely
Status		
Descending to Communication (s) filed on Decem	be. 26, 2001	
☐ This action is FINAL.		•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance ex accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,		
Disposition of Claims		
<b>™</b> Claim(s)	is love manding in the condition	
DCIaim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)		
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed.
Of the above claim(s)  ☐ Claim(s)  ☐ Claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected.
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers		is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)	awing Review, PTO-948.	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson.	awing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	awing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent	awing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  The drawing(s) filed on  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	awing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's	awing Review, PTO-948 is approved by the Examine er. ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(is of the priority documents umber)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.  is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  d  d isapproved.  r.  a)-(d). have been
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson	awing Review, PTO-948.  is approved being approved by the Examine er.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) as of the priority documents umber)  e International Bureau (PC)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.  d d disapproved. r.  a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drate of The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of the drawing(s) filed on is/are of the specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorical priorical contents of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERTIFIED copies of the CERTIFIED copies of the Certification No. (Series Code/Serial No. preceived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No. preceived in this national stage application from the	awing Review, PTO-948.  is approved being approved by the Examine er.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) as of the priority documents umber)  e International Bureau (PC)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.  d d disapproved. r.  a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drate of The proposed drawing correction, filed on  The drawing(s) filed on  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prioring received.  Received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Note that the received in this national stage application from the received copies not received:  Attachm nt(s)	awing Review, PTO-948.  is approved be approved by the Examine er.  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) as of the priority documents umber)  e International Bureau (PC)	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.  d
Of the above claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent	awing Review, PTO-948 is approved before the priority documents umber) e International Bureau (PC) ber No(s) [	is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.  d

Application/Control Number: 10/006,992

Art Unit: 3739

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-17 is, drawn to a method for measuring optical tissues, classified in class 351, subclass 221.
- II. Claims 18-20, drawn to a method of determining the accuracy of a gradient array, classified in class 356, subclass 124.
- III. Claims 21-23 are, drawn to a system for diagnosing an eye, classified in class351, subclass 212.
- IV. Claims 24-26, 32-34, 35/24, and 35/32 are, drawn to a method of measuring an error map of an eye, classified in class 351, subclass 211.
- V. Claims 27-30, 35/27, and 35/30 are, drawn to a method of selecting an aberration of an eye for treatment, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as detecting the presence and extent of cataracts. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method could be used in directing a corneal recurvature system.

Inventions I and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as determining the appropriate depths of removal of corneal tissue for vision correction. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention V has separate utility such as determining the appropriate depth of removal of corneal tissue for vision correction. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method could be used to detect the presence of cataracts.

Inventions II and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as detecting the presence and extent of cataracts. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as detecting the presence and extent of cataracts. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 3739

Inventions IV and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method could be used to determine the depth of corneal ablation for vision correction.

Inventions III and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as determining the depth of corneal ablation for vision correction. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions V and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the particulars of the subcombination are not claimed. The subcombination has separate utility such as determining the appropriate depth for removal of corneal tissue for vision correction.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 3739

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A telephone call was made to Mr. Mark Barrish on September 11, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David Shay at telephone number 308-2215.

Shay/Dl

October 20, 2003

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330